



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,377	11/26/2001	James Lewis van Welzen	P000047/2298P 1812		
7:	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			SHIBRU, HELEN		
Two North Mar	rket Street				
Thrid Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	95113	2621			
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	Dication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		995,377	WELZEN ET AL.	
		miner	Art Unit	
	HEL	EN SHIBRU	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	OM THE MAILING DATE (the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I e of this communication. e maximum statutory period will appl eriod for reply will, by statute, cause hree months after the mailing date o	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tir y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on 26 Novem	ber 2001.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer				
		rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	- · · ·	om consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are reject				
7) Claim(s) is/are obje				
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objecte	ed to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26</u>	•	ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ obiec	ted to by the Exami	iner.
= : :		ng(s) be held in abeyance. Se		
• • •	• •	required if the drawing(s) is ob		R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made €	of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ !		ny ander oo o.o.o. 3 1 10/a	, (u) 01 (1).	
· - · - ·	ne priority documents hav	e been received.		
	· •	e been received in Applicat	ion No.	
·	•	ocuments have been receiv		Stage
·	International Bureau (PC	•		J
* See the attached detailed C	•	• • •	ed.	
				. (0)
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Faper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patert Application (PTO	-152)
S. Patent end Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/995,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

Specification

1. The serial numbers of cross-related applications must be submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lane (US Pat. No. 5,377,051) in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Lane discloses a method for performing single backwards playback, the method comprising:

- (a) receiving a signal indicating selection of a single frame reverse function (see col. 28 lines 45-61 and col. 32 lines 45-64 and figures 2 and 8a. An encoder capable of computing trick play motion vectors in addition to the normal motion vectors for reverse and other trick play speeds. In addition to generating trick play motion vector the encoded 102 in fig. 8(a) generates an inter-coded image for every N frames of normal play inter-coded images. Such trick play intra-coded inter-coded images are useful for generating images during trick playback operation);
- (b) reconstructing frame data for a preceding frame of an original playback (see col. 29 lines 6-29 and col. 37 lines 56-61. The trick play data may comprise a subset of the normal play data, a copy of a subset of the normal play data. The trick play data packets output by the data filter 308

Application/Control Number: 09/995,377

Art Unit: 2621

of fig. 10(a) include duplicates of data packets sent to the normal play data processing circuit.

See also col. 50 lines 66-68).

(c) utilizing memory sufficient to support the reconstructing step (see col. 37 line 62-col. 38 line

5. Tape storage capability is maximized to read and use during both normal play and trick play

operation);

(d) displaying the reconstructed frame data of the preceding frame (the inter-coded data are

displayed. See col. 28 lines 24-44, and col. 39 lines 7-33).

Although Lane discloses the capability of reverse playback in preceding frames, Lane

however, fails to disclose the feature of performing reverse playback in a DVD system as

specified in the present claims 1 and 5.

Official notice is taken that the use of DVD (digital versatile disc) type media is well known

in the art to store more audio, video, or other data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Lane by utilizing the DVD to

take advantage of the higher storage data capacity.

Regarding claims 2, and 6, Lane discloses selection of single frame to reverse function

has occurred (see col. 19 lines 39-57 and col. 33 lines 40-44).

Regarding claims 3, and 7 see the rejection of claim 1.

4. Claims 4, 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lane in

view of Official Notice and further in view of Goodwin (US Pat. No. 6,532,232).

Regarding claim 9, it is noted that the feature of DVD player system recited thereof are

present in the proposed combination of Lane and Official Notice indicated above. Lane further

discloses a decoding engine for receiving a signal indicating selection of a single frame reverse

Application/Control Number: 09/995,377 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

function and reconstructing frame data for a preceding frame of an original playback (see claim 6, col. 27 line 65-col. 28 line 10, col. 33 lines 26-39 and lines 1-14, and col. 35 line 52-col. 36 line 20). Lane further discloses a display device for displaying the constructed frame (see fig. 10 a and rejection 1 above). Lane further discloses a frame buffer for displaying at the location of the screen corresponding to the slice (see col. 39 lines 16-22). Lane further discloses the frame buffer includes newly decoded data or repeated frame from the previous frame (see col. 39 lines 30-34)

Claim 9 further differs from lane and the proposed combination in that the claim the claim further requires a plurality of frame buffers storing frame data during reconstructing.

In the same field of endeavor Goodwin discloses ten buffers to store ten frames of digital video data (see fig. 6a and col. 8 lines 23-30). Goodwin further discloses the buffer memory are large (see col. 8 lines 41-53). Therefore in light of the teaching in Goodwin it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lane by including a plurality of buffer in order to accommodate a worst case application and operation system.

Claim 10 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2 and 6 above.

Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 4, 8 and 12, the limitations of claims 4, 8 and 12 is discussed in claim 9 above. Therefore claims 4, 8 and 12 are analyzed and rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 9 above.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, see fig. 4 of Goodwin DVD player.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Georgiou et al. (US Pat. No. 7,003,597).

Belz et al. (US Pat. No. 6,980,552).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HELEN SHIBRU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7329. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THAI Q. TRAN can be reached on (571) 272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helen Shibru April 5, 2006

TWATTE LANGINGER